

Mid-Continent Coalbed Methane Symposium Nov. 7-9, 2004 – Crowne Plaza Hotel – Tulsa, OK

CBM & ECBM Reservoir Simulation

Jim Erdle

Computer Modelling Group Inc.





Acknowledgements

Presentation Created by

- Peter Sammon (CMG, Ltd.) Technical Coordinator of GEM development team
- Mohamed Hassam (CMG, Ltd.) member of GEM development team
- □ Work performed with assistance from
 - David H.-S. Law (Alberta Research Council) Head of CBM Consortium
 - Bill Gunter (Alberta Research Council) -
- **Multi-component extension to Palmer-Mansoori Theory**
 - Matt Mavor (Tesseract) Consultant





Structure of Coal







Flow in Coal



- Primary CBM recovery
- CO₂ enhanced recovery (CO₂-ECBM)
- I N₂ enhanced recovery (N₂-ECBM)
- Flue gas enhanced recovery





Primary CBM Recovery Mechanisms

- **Reduce cleat pressure by producing water**
- Methane desorbs from matrix, diffuses to cleats
- Methane and water flow to wellbore
- Cleat permeability affected by matrix responses







ECBNIProcesses



- Enhanced Coalbed Methane (ECBM) Recovery
- Green House Gas (GHG) Sequestration







Modelling Issues: Properties of Coal

Primary porosity system (coal matrix)

- Microporosity (< 2 nm)
- Mesoporosity (2 50 nm)
- Very low flow capacity: perms in microDarcy range

Secondary porosity system (coal cleats)

- Macroporosity (> 50 nm)
- Natural fractures
- Much greater flow capacity: perms in milliDarcy range







Issues for CBM Modelling

- Multiple porosity model required
 - Allows standard Darcy flow in fracture (Cleat) system
- **D** Diffusion process for gas from matrix to fracture
 - No Darcy flow required here
- Adsorption/desorption of gas in the matrix
 - Pressure-dependent isotherms
- Coal shrinkage due to gas desorption and swelling due to cleat depressurization
 - Alters fracture permeability
- Water Blockage Issues
 - Water in cleats can interfere with gas flow from/to matrix





Issues for ECBM Modelling

□ All the above for CBM <u>but add</u>

- Multi-component gas (CH₄, CO₂, N₂, ...)
 - Need to calculate accurate gas properties
- Multi-component adsorption/desorption isotherms
- Multi-component diffusion modelling
 - Can have bi-lateral diffusion
- Coal mechanics become more complicated
 - Additional coal swelling due to CO₂ adsorption competing with other effects
- Could take place in an non-isothermal environment





GEM: ECBM capabilities

How GEM addresses these issues

- Start with a multi-component, multi-phase reservoir simulator: GEM (CMG's EOS Reservoir Simulator)
- GEM uses an Equation of State (EOS) formulation
 - Accurate fluid properties
 - Can use library components
 - Can tune to lab data
 - For instance, could tune to viscosities for CO₂ mixtures measured near the critical point of CO₂







GEM: Adsorption Definition

GEM has different adsorption models

Extended Langmuir model

$$\omega_{i} = \omega_{i, \max} \left(\frac{(y_{ig} p/p_{Li})}{1 + \sum_{j} (y_{jg} p/p_{Lj})} \right)$$

- Based on Langmuir isotherm for single components
- Provides a multi-component extension
- Tabular input for binary systems
 - Allows direct input of measured lab data







GEM: Adsorption Definition

GEM uses different adsorption models

- General tabular input
- For more complicated systems









GEM: Adsorption Definition

□ Adsorption isotherms (Langmuir type)









GEM: Diffusion Modelling

Input of coal diffusion "times"

- Use measured coal desorption times (days) directly
 - Can be component dependent
- Internally calculates diffusive flow
- **Direct input of gas phase diffusion "rates"**
 - Enter diffusion constants (cm²/sec)
 - Enter estimated coal cleat (fracture) spacings
 - Leads to an effective inverse area: Shape Factor
 - Flow based on product of the two terms





GEM: Diffusion Modelling

Direct input of gas phase diffusion "rates"

- Specify {DiffCoeff_i} for each component
- Specify fracture spacings: DFrac_i, DFrac_i, DFrac_k
- These imply the following shape factor:

Shape =
$$4 \sum_{l} \frac{1}{(DFrac_l)^2}$$

- Used to find diffusional flow from bulk coal ↔ cleats
 - Diffusion Constant = Shape × DiffCoeff_i







When gas/water is produced initially

- Pressure in cleats decreases, alters effective stresses
- Cleats close, lowering permeability
- **But desorbing methane**
 - Causes matrix shrinkage, opening cleats, increasing k
 - Reduces water saturation
- Injecting other gases
 - Causes matrix swelling
- Offsetting effects requires simulation to resolve







GEM has capabilities for shrinkage/swelling

- Input for a "compaction/dilation" option
 - Porosity/permeability multipliers
 - Functions of pressure
 - Uses tabular input









Tables of ϕ and/or k multipliers as functions of pressure



Porosity/permeability multiplier



□ GEM also has Palmer/Mansoori models

- Basic model uses relevant rock mechanics
 - Initial pressure (p_{init}) and porosity (ϕ_{init})
 - Young's modulus (E) and Poisson's Ratio (v)
 - Max strain at inf pres (ϵ_L) and half-strain pressure (p_ϵ) (amounting to a Langmuir-type model for strain)

$$\frac{\phi}{\phi_{init}} = 1 + c_f(p - p_{init}) + \frac{\varepsilon_L}{\phi_{init}} \left(1 - \frac{K}{M}\right) \left(\frac{p_{init}}{p_{\varepsilon} + p_{init}} - \frac{p}{p_{\varepsilon} + p}\right)$$

Function of v and $1/(E\phi_{init})$ **Function of** v





Typical cleat permeability plot for methane

• Using $(k/k_{init}) = (\phi / \phi_{init})^{pwr}$









- Multi-component Palmer/Mansoori models
 - Problem: CO₂ injection causes coal matrix to swell
 - Swelling much greater than shrinkage due to CH₄ desorption
 - Expect that wells might seriously lose injectivity
 - Need compositionally-dependent P&M parameters
 - Improvement over the user needing to input one "average" set of parameters
 - Specifying composition-dependent $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{L}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{\epsilon}$







Multi-component Palmer/Mansoori models

- Max strain at inf pres (ε_L) and half-strain pressure (p_ε) have been made component dependent, Based on work by Mavor & ARC
- Law and Mavor used to match FBV field tests
- Law using to match CUCBM pilot test

$$\frac{\phi}{\phi_{\text{init}}} = 1 + c_{\text{f}} \left(p - p_{\text{init}} \right) + \frac{1}{\phi_{\text{init}}} \left(1 - \frac{K}{M} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{nc} \frac{p_{\text{init}} \varepsilon_{\text{Lj}} \left(y_{\text{init,j}} / p_{\varepsilon_j} \right)}{1 + p_{\text{init}} \sum_{k=1}^{nc} \left(y_{\text{init,k}} / p_{\varepsilon_k} \right)} - \sum_{j=1}^{nc} \frac{p \varepsilon_{\text{Lj}} \left(y_j / p_{\varepsilon_j} \right)}{1 + p \sum_{k=1}^{nc} \left(y_k / p_{\varepsilon_k} \right)} \right)$$







Other GEM features

Many other possibilities available with GEM

- Can model gas solubility in water, water vapourization
- Non-isothermal problems
- Non-Darcy flow models available
 - Forchheimer modifications, in reservoir and at wells
- Diffusion/Velocity-Dependent Dispersion modelling
 - In cleat system
- Numerical dispersion reduction (TVD schemes)
- Geochemical reactions being tested for CO₂ sequestration in saline aquifers
 - Application to ECBM (e.g.carbonic acid dissolving minerals in coal)?



Other GEM features

Typical full-field simulator features

- Can specify spatially dependent properties, including those for adsorption, rock mechanics, ...
- Various initializations, including saturated and under-saturated coals
- Full Windows-based input processing and graphics







- **GEM for CBM has been used for both**
 - Investigative modelling
 - Field studies
 - **Look at results from a 5-spot investigative model**
 - Production with & without CO₂ or N₂ injection







3D View of 3-layer Coalbed





Copyright © Computer Modelling Group Ltd.

2D X-section – Dewatering Cleats









Results from a 5-spot injection model











Results from a 5-spot injection model



Copyright © Computer Modelling Group Ltd.

Time (day)



Injection profiles





Copyright © Computer Modelling Group Ltd.



Effects of varying desorption times



Time (day)







Effects of shrinkage/swelling (P&M model)









Effects of shrinkage/swelling



Time (day)







Conclusions (1)

- A multi-purpose compositional model (GEM) has been upgraded to include the physics for modelling CBM/ECBM recovery processes
 - 1. Multi-component, pressure and composition-dependent gas adsorption, desorption, and re-adsorption in the coal matrix using an extended Langmuir isotherm technique, or tables
 - 2. Dual porosity (i.e., coal matrix and cleat) system behavior
 - 3. Diffusional flow of gas between the coal matrix and the cleats
 - 4. Cleat permeability and porosity can be modelled as functions of effective stress (Palmer and Mansoori model)







- A multi-purpose compositional model (GEM) has been upgraded to include the physics for modelling CBM/ECBM recovery processes
 - 5. Coal swelling and shrinkage can be modelled as a function of gas (e.g. CO2) adsorption or gas (e.g. methane) desorption, respectively
 - 6. General distributions of porosity and (anisotropic) permeabilities can be assigned in both the coal matrix and the cleat systems
 - 7. Multi-phase Darcy and Non-Darcy (i.e. Klinkenberg for low pressure conditions and turbulent for high velocity conditions) flow of gas and water through the cleat system to the wells
 - 8. Mixing of injected and in-place gases via multi-component molecular diffusion and velocity-dependent, longitudinal and transverse convective dispersion
 - 9. Dissolution of injected and in-place gases into the aqueous (water) phase





Consultants/Universities/Labs using GEM for CBM/ECBM/CO2 Sequestration

- Tesseract (Park City) Matt Mavor
- Mansoori & Assoc (Denver) John Mansoori
- □ Sproule (Denver) John Seidle
- □ Raven Ridge Resources (Grand Junction) Ron Collings
- □ SI International (Denver) George Lane
- □ Malkewicz Hueni Associates (Denver) Tim Hower & Dan Simpson
- **Epic Consulting (Calgary) Richard Baker**
- Ticora Geosciences (Denver) Simon Testa
- NIOSH (Pittsburgh) Ozgen Karacan
- □ KGS (Lawrence) Tim Carr
- □ ARC (Edmonton) David Law
- Penn State (State College) Turgay Ertekin
- □ WVU (Morgantown) Shahab D. Mohaghegh
- Oklahoma U (Norman) Richard Hughes
- U of Texas (Austin) Gary Pope
- □ Texas A&M (College Station) David S. Schechter





E&P Companies using GEM for CBM/ECBM/CO2 Sequestration

- **ConocoPhilips (Calgary) Kevin Ratterman**
- ChevronTexaco (Houston) Kirk McIvor
- □ Shell (Houston) Jeff Bain
- **EOG Resources (Houston) Charles Smith**
- Encana (Denver) Robert Downey & John Mansoori
- Burlington Resources (Calgary) Chris Clarkson
- Devon (Calgary) ?
- □ Talisman (Calgary) ?
- PetroChina (China) ?
- CUCBM (China) ?
- **Gazonor (Russia) ?**





