## Geologic Carbon Storage in the Lower Ordovician Arbuckle Group Saline Aquifer in Kansas

W. Lynn Watney<sup>1</sup>, Ph.D., Tiraz Birdie<sup>2</sup>, Ph.D., Yevhen (Eugene) Holubnyak<sup>1</sup>, Jason Rush<sup>1</sup>,
Fatemeh (Mina) FazelAlavi1, John Doveton1, Ph.D., Thomas Hansen<sup>3</sup>, Connie Walker<sup>4</sup>,
Ken Cooper<sup>4</sup>, PE, Dana Wreath<sup>5</sup>, Jennifer Raney<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kansas Geological Survey, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS
 <sup>2</sup>TBirdie Consulting, Inc., Lawrence, KS
 <sup>3</sup>Bittersweet Energy, Inc., Wichita, KS
 <sup>4</sup>Petrotek Engineering Corporation, Littleton, CO
 <sup>5</sup>Berexco, LLC, Wichita, KS



Tuesday, May 6, 2014: 3:00 p.m. Confluence C (Westin Denver Downtown)





## Overview

- Small scale field test at Wellington Field
- One of two calibration sites for southern Kansas CO<sub>2</sub> storage assessment (65,000 km<sup>2</sup>)
- Plans remain to inject up to 40,000 tonnes (0.75 BCF) scCO<sub>2</sub> into Gasconade Dolomite of Arbuckle Group at Wellington Fld.
- 3D geocellular geomodel based on two basement tests and 434 m of core, wireline logs, 3D multi-component seismic volume and well testing
- Predict behavior of scCO<sub>2</sub> plume based on compositional simulator
- Monitor overlying Mississippian oil reservoir for leakage

Acknowledgements -- The work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) under Grant DE-FE0002056 and DE-FE0006821, W.L. Watney and Jason Rush, Joint Pls. Project is managed and administered by the Kansas Geological Survey/KUCR at the University of Kansas and funded by DOE/NETL and cost-sharing partners.

The study is a collaboration, multi-disciplinary effort between the KGS, Geology Departments at Kansas State University and The University of Kansas, BEREXCO, INC., Bittersweet Energy, Inc. Hedke-Saenger Geoscience, Ltd., Improved Hydrocarbon Recovery (IHR), Anadarko, Cimarex, Merit Energy, GloriOil, Dawson-Markwell Exploration, and Noble Energy.

## Wellington Field Site of Proposed Small Scale Field Test



20 MM Barrel Mississippian Oil Field above Arbuckle Group



## Initial CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Capacity Estimate Deep Arbuckle Saline Formation



- Ø<sub>tot</sub> = total bulk volume of pore space available
- ρ = CO2 density
- E<sub>saline</sub> = fraction of the total pore volume that will be occupied by the injected CO2
- E<sub>saline</sub> ranges between 0.40 and 5.5 percent over the 10th to 90th percent probability range

![](_page_4_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Thickness (ft) (top) & Initial (P90) Estimate of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage (millions tonnes/10 km<sup>2</sup> cell) (bottom) in Southern Kansas

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **CO2-EOR & Saline Injection, Wellington Field**

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

 InSAR & CGPS → surface deformation • IRIS seismometers & 3C accelerometers • Tracers to detect injected CO<sub>2</sub> • Monitor ~600 ft deep well below shallow evaporite cap rock • Test for CO<sub>2</sub> in Mississippian wells (Underpressured oil reservoir should trap any vertically migrating CO2) Inject 28,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>

into Mississippian oil reservoir to demonstrate CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR and 99% assurance of storage with MVA

Pending Class VI permit and DOE funding -- Inject up to ~40,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> • U-Tube, CASSM and cross hole seismic • DTS & acoustic fiber optics (long string fiber pending)

#### Primary Confining Zone Continuous in the Wellington Area (Mississippian argillaceous Cowley facies + Chattanooga Shale + Simpson Group) West-East Seismic Impedance PSTM

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Aquifer Characterization Arbuckle Saline Aquifer

- Dominantly cherty dolomite
- Permeable Upper 70 m: porous medium pelleted dolomitic packstones and grainstones

 Baffle - Middle 110 m: tight, dense, micritic dolomite

 Permeable - Lower 110 m: thin dolomitic strataform breccias created by dissolution of evaporites, packstones and grainstones with discontinuous solution enhanced fractures

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Plenty of Microporosity, Even in the Lower Arbuckle CO2 Injection Zone

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

Lower Arbuckle (Gasconade) Lower hydrostratigraphic unit Flow unit – Proposed Injection unit

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

whole core: phi 2.2% 89 md

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

Rock fabrics in aquitard of middle Arbuckle Group -- Thin section photomicrographs

Anticipated reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with – 1) argillaceous and sulfide/oxide material in the fracture pores, 2) reaction rims and microporosity in chert & dolomite and increased surface area along pore systems

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

4515.5 ft Chert nodule with pyrite(?) in moderately microporous (moldic peloid), finely crystalline dolomite/chert with pyrite

#### Permeability Profile of Arbuckle in Cored Well - #1-32

with concentrations of redox reactive ions (Fe<sup>2+</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, CH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) from KGS #1-32 & #1-28

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Lower and Upper Arbuckle Are Not in Hydraulic Communication

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Variable Zonal Fracturing Through the Arbuckle Spectral (dipole) acoustic log and visual core description

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

Stratigraphic Cyclicity and Corresponding Lithofacies

Define Flow units Lower Arbuckle Injection Zone

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Upscaled Horizontal Permeability in CMG Dynamic Model

Permeability I (md) 2014-01-01 J layer: 66

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Bottom Hole Pressure, 325 psi max. (0.485 psi/ft) 120 tonne/day, 40,000 tonne total CO<sub>2</sub>

KGS 1-28 base case 4 aq t.irf

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

Summary

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Key Findings**

- Suitable injection zones, caprock, and isolation from USDW
  - Arbuckle highly stratified three distinct hydrostratigraphic units
  - Significant amounts of the scCO<sub>2</sub> are predicted to be <u>trapped</u> in or near the injection zone due to decreased velocity of CO<sub>2</sub> travel through less permeable medium -- <u>residual and solubility trapping</u>
  - Pressure increase (325 psi) is insignificant

#### **Lessons Learned**

- Water geochemistry and biogeochemistry have proved extremely useful
- Multiple independent means required to assess permeability in complex carbonate aquifer system requires

#### **Future Plans**

- Submit application for Class VI injection permit in May 2014
- Begin field work for Class II EOR activities after negotiations with new source of CO<sub>2</sub> are completed
- Inject CO<sub>2</sub> into Mississippian oil reservoir first (Fall 2014), followed by saline aquifer (mid 2015)