# GC44A-02. Modeling CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration in Saline Aquifer and Depleted Oil Reservoirs to Evaluate Regional CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration Potential of Ozark Plateau Aquifer System, South-Central Kansas





W. Lynn Watney, Jason Rush, and collaborators

Kansas Geological Survey 1930 Constant Avenue Lawrence, KS 66047 Iwatney@kgs.ku.edu

















#### **KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY**





**Department of Geology** 





Devilbiss Coring Service Basic Energy Services









### TRILOBITE TESTING, NC.

## HALLIBURTON

Bittersweet Energy Inc.





#### HEDKE-SAENGER GEOSCIENCE, LTD







### **Industry Partners** Southwest Kansas CO<sub>2</sub> Consortium *CIMAREX* GloriOil Improved Hydrocarbon Recovery LLC SUNFLOWER ENERGY LLC HEDKE-SAENGER GEOSCIENCE, LTD +drilling and seismic contractors TBN nadar**ko**<sup>♯</sup> **Dawson-Markwell Exploration Co. BEREXCO** Petroleum Corporation Industrial and Electrical Power Sources of CO<sub>2</sub> CELEBRATING 20 YEARS. Conesto Energy Partners, LLC MERIT ENERGY COMPANY Sunflower Electric Power Corporation A Touchstone Energy Cooperative K ... energy done right Abengoa Bioenergy : The Global Ethanol Company

# Outline

- Background
- Status of Project
- Overview of Regional Structural and Stratigraphic Analysis
- Focus on Two Wellington Field Activities
  - 1. Drilling/coring and subsequent core and log analysis
  - 2. 3D multicomponent (converted wave) and 2D-9C seismic processing and interpretation → toward refined 3D geomodel and simulation
- Summary





NETL Program Manager: Brian Dressel

# **Project Overview**

• Start Date - Dec 2009

Ozark

Plateau Aquifer

**System** 

- Build static geomodels
  - <u>Wellington field</u> (Sumner County, KS)
    - Depleted Mississippian oil field
    - Underlying Arbuckle saline aquifer
  - Four Chester/Morrow field in SW KS
  - Regional Arbuckle saline aquifer in S. KS<sup>L</sup>
- Conduct simulation studies to estimate

CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity of Ozark Plateau Aquifer System (OPAS) Miss

- Arbuckle saline aquifer 23 county area
  - CO<sub>2</sub> storage efficacy and capacity
  - Identify potential ~8 CO<sub>2</sub> storage sites
- Risk analysis related to CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration
  - Caprock integrity
  - Rock heterogeneity including fault mapping
  - Assess abandoned wells
- Technology transfer

Top Arbuckle Group and Producing Wells in Arbuckle





KU GEOLOGICA

## Stratigraphic Column New Basement Test Berexco Wellington KGS #1-32

#### Completed at Wellington Field February 2011





### **Well Data Inventory**

### Wells with LAS or Raster = 3792



### **Regional Team**

**Developed regional database** 

- Correlated logs and identified Type Wells for digitizing to LAS files
- Established that Arbuckle is an open aquifer system, hydraulically connected to outcrops in Missouri (~150 miles to east)
- Evaluating faults, fractures, flexures
- Establishing additional 8+ sites in region for additional simulation beyond field studies

### **Calculated Pressure vs.**

### **Observed Pressure (psi)**

6874 ARBK Dst's ( observed gradient filtered )



Arbuckle aquifer communicating with outcrop in Missouri



**Non-Faulted** 



## Structural mapping and evaluation of faulting Top Arbuckle Group



- Published faults are being compiled and new ones are under investigation
- Focus on <u>quantitative</u> assessment of CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity of Arbuckle saline aquifer is within dashed blue area

### Web-based Interactive Project Mapper Overlay of Oil and gas field outlines and Top Arbuckle Group in study area of southern Kansas



Illustration of tilt angle computation to locate discontinuities and ~depth to gravity and magnetic anomalies as an aid to identifying possible basement faults



### Tilt angle map of the total magnetic field intensity in Kansas overlain with isopachous contours of Arbuckle Group's Gasconade to Gunter Sandstone interval



Snapshot from project's interactive mapper -- http://maps.kgs.ku.edu/co2/?pass=project

## **Wellington Field Area**

Landsat lineaments and gravity tilt angle map

Northeast trending surface lineament bisecting Wellington Field





There are natural mineralized "closed" fractures with two orientations, one E x W and the other NE x SW.

There are 132 drilling induced fractures in this pass, oriented 75°/255°, indicating the maximum stress direction.



## **Wellington Field**

- 1) Mississippian tripolitic chert/dolomite reservoir (20+ million barrels produced)
- 1) Arbuckle saline aquifer
- 2) Intervening caprocks

New core and logs from
KGS #1-32 and logs from #128 obtained in Jan-Feb. 2011

- Using to assess --
  - Integrity of caprocks
  - Porosity types,

injectivity, and storage

 Model potential for C02-EOR in

Mississippian saline aquifer

 Sequestration in Arbuckle

### Caprock and Seals Cored in Berexco Wellington KGS #1-32

### Pennsylvanian Cherokee Shale (primary caprock on top of OPAS /Mississippian)



Lower Mississippian-Devonian shale& argillaceous carbonate on shale and sandstone of Upper Ordovician Simpson Group (caprock on top of Arbuckle)



### ZONAL FRACTURES AND AUTOCLASTIC BRECCIAS IN THE POROUS INTERVALS OF THE ARBUCKLE





#### 4609 ft



Core from KGS #1-32

## Surface location of basement test (#1-32 & 31-28) drilled in Wellington Field during Jan-Feb 2011



#### Cross section showing 20 ft interval of step rate test and proposed swab intervals in the Arbuckle



Preliminary upscaled hydrostratigraphic units in Arbuckle Group

## Completing Converted (Shear) Wave Processing and Depth Migration of 3D Seismic

6.5 miles 2D-9C seismic survey obtained in July-August 2011 for calibration of multicomponent 3D seismic



## 2D Shear Wave Line #1 Index Map, Wellington Field Prestack Time Migrated (PSTM) – **Top Pennsylvanian Kansas City Group**

Wellington Field Operator

-1856 1853 -1850

-1846

-1843 -1840

-1837 -1834

-1830-

-1827 -1824-

-1821--1818--1815-

-1811--1808--1805

-1802--1799-

-1795--1792--1789-

-1786

-1783--1780--1776--1773

-1770--1767--1764--1760--1757--1754-

-1751--1748-

-1744--1741

-1738-

-1735--1732--1729-

-1725--1722--1719-

-1716--1713-

-1709--1706-



**Geophysical Contractors** 



NGER GEOSCIENCE, LTD







## Summary

- Original Project Start Date Dec. 8, 2009; End date: August 7, 2013
- \$10 million project including \$5 million budget enhancement to fund Southwest Kansas CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration Consortium to anchor western side of regional study area --
  - Led by additional science team with five industry partners
  - 120+ mi<sup>2</sup> 3D seismic donation
  - Reprocess portion of and interpret donated 3D seismic
  - Field data on four major Chester/Morrow sandstone oil fields
  - Simulate reservoirs to maximize CO<sub>2</sub> storage
  - Select field for 10 mi<sup>2</sup> multicomponent 3D seismic and basement test with ~2200 ft core
- 2D shear wave survey acquired in Wellington Field in August
  - Use to refine processing and interpretation of existing 12 mi<sup>2</sup> multi-component 3D seismic survey
- Core Analysis delivery December 2011
- Geochemistry & Geobiology ongoing into 2012
- Revise Geomodel & Simulation early 2012







### **New Project**

### Small Scale Field Test Demonstrating CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration in Arbuckle Saline Aquifer and by CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR at Wellington field, Sumner County, Kansas --



W. Lynn Watney and Jason Rush Kansas Geological Survey Lawrence, KS 66047

Funding Opportunity Number: DE-FOA-0000441 Contract #FE0006821 \$11,484,499 DOE \$3.236 million cost share





EXAMPLE AND A CONTRACT OF A CO



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY







**Department of Geology** 

# **Acknowledgements & Disclaimer**

#### Acknowledgements

 The work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) under Contracts #DE-FE0002056 and #DE-FE0006821, W.L. Watney and Jason Rush, Joint Pls. Project is managed and administered by the Kansas Geological Survey/KUCR at the University of Kansas and funded by DOE/NETL and cost-sharing partners.

#### Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



