Alternative Energy – What's developing in the Wings?

> W. Lynn Watney Kansas Geological Survey KU Energy Research Center





# Outline

- Energy Research in Kansas/KU
- Status of energy use and fuels
- Policy changes to support alternative forms of energy
- Changing views on fossil energy dependence
- Are high oil and gas prices good?
- Biomass, ethanol, synfuels, land-fill gas, carbon sequestration
- Fuels Cells
- Electric Vehicles
- Wind Power
- Conservative, efficient use of energy
- Conclusions

## **Global Energy Consumption**



http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/reprint/309/5734/548.pdf

Science v. 309, 22 July 2005, p. 548-549.



Source of Funds	Science and Engineering Research	Training and Other Research	Total
Grants and Contracts	\$118,012,550	\$64,060,395	\$182,072,945
Federal Government	101,920,140	53,238,771	155,158,911
State and Local Governments	4,849,737	3,047,237	7,896,974
Industry	2,294,733	3,047,606	5,342,339
Nonprofit and Other	8,947,940	4,726,781	13,674,730
Institutional Funds	\$63,179,700	\$28,598,970	\$91,778,670
TOTAL	\$181,192,250	\$92,659,365	\$273,851,615

## **KU 2002 Federal Science and Engineering Expenditures (select disciplines):**

Sociology	15th
Political Science	23rd
Earth Sciences	30th
Life Sciences (combined)	29th
Life Sciences - Biological Science	30th
Life Sciences - Medical Sciences	32nd
Life Sciences - Other	8th





## KU Fiscal Year 2004







## Energy Research Center Seed Fund Program

•Development of a Predictive Geomechanical Model for Recovery of Coalbed Methane

•Non-Invasive Collider Beam Monitoring  $\star$ 

•Novel Au Catalysts for the Preferential Oxidation of CO

 $\star$ 

•Characterization of surface ionic activity of proton conducting membrane by conductive atomic force (CAFM)

•Gas Content, Chemical Composition, and Isotopic Analyses of Eastern Kansas Coals and Organic – Rich Shales

•Collaborative Research in Energy Policy: Grid Access

•<u>Next-Generation Building Energy Systems Desig</u> Software

30 seed projects similar to above have been funded since 1991 \$2.8 million awarded in external funds resulting from seed funds

# Featured Energy Research at KU



- Fuel Cells Trung Van Nguyen
- Biofuels Ethanol, syngas Susan Williams
- Building Insulation Mario Medina
- Carbon Sequestration -- Tim Carr
- Energy Information Network Scott White

Sponsored by the KU Energy Research Center http://www.kgs.ku.edu/ERC

### **Building Insulation**

### 41% drop in heat loss



http://www.kgs.ku.edu/ERC/current.html



KU ENERGY RESEARCH CENTER'S SPONSORED PROJECT ON BUILDING INSULATION:

#### Little Houses on the Prairie

Phase-change materials help take the bite out of heating and cooling in test houses. http://www.engr.ku.edu/publications/Oread\_Engin eer/2002/articles/tinyhouses.htm

#### KU professor studies unique substance that could help improve home efficiency

http://www.ku.edu/~kunews/2002/02N/SeptNews/ Sept17/pcm.html

#### **New Invention**

"Phase Change Structural Insulated Panels and Walls." Filed in July 2003 with U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Status: Pending.

http://www.research.ku.edu/techtran/news/newsl etter/news\_v3\_n03.pdf

#### 2004 Technology Showcase Draws a Crowd

http://www.research.ku.edu/techtran/news/newsl etter/kutt-0105.pdf

😻 ERC Capabilities - Mo:	zilla Firefox		*	
<u>File Edit View Go Book</u>	marks <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp			0
🧼 • 🌳 • 🛃 💿 🕎	Mttp://www.kgs.ku.ed	u/ERC/capabilities.html	🔽 🗿 Go 💽 nd energy	/ map kansas
🐵 Kansas Wind Energy Inforr	national 🌠 ERC Capab	lities		×
	Energy	Research Center	The University of 1930 Const 108 Par Lawrence, Kansa	Kansas tant Ave rker Hall as 66047
Home		Capabilities		
Background	Building Efficiency	Energy Analysis, non-renewable resources, Ener phase-change insulation, off-the-grid housing	gy Analysis & Diagnostic Center,	
Tech Transfer	Basic Research in Energy Systems	Physics, chemistry, semiconductors, supercondu	ctors	
Organization	Microbiology Alternative Energy	Remediation, enhanced petroleum recovery Solar, wind, fuel cells, catalysts for gasification ar storage, biofuels, transportation alternatives, turk	nd gas-to-liquid thermal energy pines	
Capabilities	Electrical Transmission	Utility regulations, energy storage systems, struct cogeneration, incineration, VOC conversion, bion particulates, consumer incentives	ures in energy generation, nass, atmospheric deposition,	
Current Activities	Energy Policy	Energy Environmental Policy, International Energy Natural resources, Economics, History, Geograph	y Policy, Law Administration,	
How to apply for Funding	Environmental / Conservation	Water resources, aqueous geochemistry, hydrolo groundwater pollution, fly ash utilization, remedia data acquisition, GIS technology, petroleum explo	ogy, wetlands, brine correlation, tion, soils, climate, geophysical pration	
Funding Awarded	Fossil Fuels: Petroleum Geology	Oil & gas reservoirs, production statistics, well log probability methods in petroleum exploration, digitechnology transfer, stratigraphy, sedimentology	ging, geochemistry, fluid flow, tal petroleum atlas, GIS,	
	Fossil Fuels: Petroleum Engineering	Petroleum reservoir engineering, gelation rheolog	gy utilization, reservoir simulation	
	Coal Supply Natural Gas Utilization	Coal resources, mining, liquification, coal bed me Natural gas engines, exhaust emissions	thane, NOX removal from flue gas	
				*
Done				0.



www.kansasenergy.org/kein.htm

## Kansas Energy Information Network

## http://www.kansasenergy.org/kein.htm

Wind energy back on county's agenda

By KERRI SNELL, Sentinel Staff Writer Wednesday, February 8, 2006 12:30 PM CST

Posted on Mon, Feb. 13, 2006 Biodiesel plant coming to northwest Missouri

**Associated Press** 

Posted on Sun, Feb. 12, 2006 Using bugs to gin up ethanol

**PAUL ELIAS** 

### **Associated Press**



Proposed ethanol plant to fuel job growth By LeROY WILSON Iwilson@gctelegram.com Posted on Monday, February 13, 2006 2:05:04 PM

## Kansas Energy Report 2006

**Kansas Energy Council** 

www.kansasenergy.org

KEC Kansas Energy Council

December 22, 2005



Figure 1—Kansas net energy balance, 1960 to 2003, with projections to 2010. Positive numbers show energy produced in excess of consumption (exports), while negative numbers show energy consumed in excess of production (imports).

## **KEC** Kansas Energy Council

The Council identified the following core priorities:

- To ensure a low-cost, reliable and secure energy supply,
- To increase energy conservation and efficiency,
- To extend the life of existing energy resources, and
- To develop a balanced renewable energy policy.

# **Kansas Gas Production**



Production Through November 2003 Wellhead Prices through September 2003





RSITY OF KA

#### http://hercules.kgs.ku.edu/kgs/oilgas/production/imageviewertest.cfm



http://hercules.kgs.ku.edu/kgs/oilgas/production/imageviewertest.cfm

## Dependability of natural gas availability and its price is a function of supply including storage.



Figure 1—Monthly U.S. natural gas storage, 2000–2003, with projections for the first half of 2004. Colored band shows the normal storage range from previous four years. Projected withdrawal rates for the 2003–2004 heating season are based on withdrawals during the colder than normal 2002–2003 (blue line) and warmer than normal 2001–2002 (red line) heating seasons (Tim Carr, Kansas Geological Survey, personal communication, October 17, 2003).

#### Home > NATURAL GAS Mar 2006 (NYMEX:NG.H06)

Add to Portfolio | Charts | Download Data | Analyze Chart | Options





#### Home > CRUDE OIL Mar 2006 (NYMEX:CL.H06)







Figure 8—Approximate locations for proposed coal plants. Note that only one of the seven proposed locations for the Westar coal plant will be chosen.

KEC Kansas Energy Council

http://www.kansasenergy.org/KEC/reports/KEC\_EnergyReport2006.pdf







## Why \$5 Gas Is Good for America

The skyrocketing cost of oil is sending pump prices soaring. But it's also subsidizing research into new technologies that can change the energy game.

By Spencer Reiss December 2005 Issue of <u>Wired Magazine</u>

As Prices Rise:

- Technologies emerge
- New resources of energy become economic
- Environmental mitigation is more

economically feasible

• Untapped, potential energy conservation becomes economic & compelling Energy Sources Unleashed Ultradeep offshore Wells Futuristic gear for tapping formerly inaccessible deposits Gas to Liquid Natural gas converted into diesel fuel Tar sands A sludgy mélange of petroleum and gravel Digital oil fields Networked drilling rigs and remote-controlled wells

#### Given Long-term price per barrel: \$30-\$70

Natural GasConventional compressed methane - clean, efficient, and explosive<br/>Coal to LiquidAn abundant energy resource transformed into diesel<br/>BiodieselBiodieselVegetable oil pressed from soybeans and palm<br/>EthanolGasoline-compatible alcohol fermented from corn, sugar, and cellulose

#### Given Long-term price per barrel: \$70 & up

 

 Energy Sources Unleashed: Methane hydrates

 A crystalline amalgam of methane and frozen water Hydrogen

 The most common element in the universe, and a superclean energy source Plug-in Hybrids

 Grid electrons propelling cars for short trips Oil shale

 Magazine



## Big Oil is spending heavily to link up remote wells



# Offshore wells are twice as deep as 30 years ago



# Africa is the chief source of gas-based synfuel



# Nearly half the world's oil reserves are in tar sands





# Biodiesel can help, but it won't meet US needs

Acres devoted to US soybean production in 2005

Arable land in the US

Soybean acreage required for biodiesel to replace annual US gasoline consumption



# The US has enough land to ditch gas for ethanol

Area devoted to US corn production in 2005

Corn acreage required for ethanol to replace annual US gasoline consumption



# The US has 85 times more shale oil than crude





Electric heating of oil shale to distill oil
Cyrogenic cooling of surrounding area
to contain oil that is released.



China's Next Cultural Revolution The People's Republic is on the fast track to become the car capital of the world. And the first alt-fuel superpower.

By Lisa Margonelli (Wired Magazine)

*Lisa Margonelli* (margonelli@yahoo.com) *is the author of* Oil on the Brain: Travels in the World of Petroleum.

# **Biomass**

**Biomass** - organic matter derived from plant and animal matter

## Kansas & National Resources

- switchgrass and big bluestem bioethanol, heat, and electricity
- corn stover and wheat straw bioethanol
- oilseed crops, edible and inedible tallow, and waste greases - biodiesel
- Iandfill gas heat and electricity
- livestock manures heat and electricity
- wood wastes heat and electricity

All of the above can be used to produce alternative liquid fuels, electricity, heat, and/or hydrogen



Environmental /Air Quality Enhancement



Environmentally Responsible Use of Natural Resources

# **Biodiesel Production**

Transesterfication (the biodiesel refining process)

Combining Vegetable Oil or Animal Fat (100 lbs.) + Methanol or Ethanol (10 lbs.)

Soy, Tallow, Waste Grease, Sunflower, Cottonseed, Canola



## **Yields**

Biodiesel (100 lbs.) + Glycerine (10 lbs.)

**Critical Quality Parameters** 

- Complete Reaction
- Removal of Glycerin
- Removal of Catalyst
- Removal of Alcohol

Absence of free fatty acids

Source: Richard Nelson K-State Engineering Extension

## National Renewable Fuels Standard (RFS)

Provision in the Energy Policy Act of 2005

## **General Objective**

- Idea is to double the amount of renewable fuels (ethanol and biodiesel) by 2012 to 7.5 billion gallons from current levels of about 3.25 billion gallons
- No set "split" between ethanol and biodiesel

### **Renewable Fuels Standard Projections**

- 2006 4.6 billion gallons
- 2010 6.8 billion gallons
- 2012 7.5 billion gallons

Figure 2. Delivered energy consumption by sector, 1970-2025 (quadrillion Btu)



### Projected Increase in Petroleum Consumption for Transportation to 2025

Source: Richard Nelson K-State Engineering Extension

## Possible Cellulosic Feedstock Sources

- Agricultural residues
  - Stover, straws, bagasse, alfalfa
- Forestry waste
  - Mill residue, bark, wood chips, thinnings
- Dedicated energy crops
  - Switchgrass, willows, poplars, sorghum, eucalyptus
- Municipal solid waste
  - Yard wastes, paper, packaging, organic wastes

Vood Wastes



Source: Richard Nelson K-State Engineering Extension





## U.S. Biodiesel Production Expected to Triple in 2005 November 15, 2005 Reporting by Roddy Scheer

http://www.emagazine.com/view/?2958



## State helps finance study on biodiesel plant By <u>Mark Fagan</u> (Contact) Thursday, July 7, 2005

http://www2.ljworld.com/news/2005/jul/07/state\_helps\_finance\_st udy\_biodiesel\_plant/?business **Goodland project to produce power, ethanol, biodiesel** The Associated Press Thursday, July 7, 2005

Biodiesel bus test at KU goes 'well' By <u>Terry Rombeck</u> (Contact), <u>Brooke Wehner</u> (<u>Contact</u>) Friday, June 3, 2005 The total cost of growing, harvesting, transporting, and co-firing must be at a cost reflecting a slight premium above the cost of coal.

#### **Estimated Cost Per MMBTU For Energy Crops**

Cost Component:	Base Case	Improved Case
Establishment	\$0.37	\$0.19
Harvesting	\$1.88	\$1.16
Transportation	\$0.41	\$0.41
Total	\$2.66	\$1.76

65% cost for harvesting Coal: \$1.5 to 1.75 per MMBTU

Base case: crop yields 32 green tons per acre Improved case: 55 tons per acre

http://www.treepower.org/economics/main.html


Fuels via synthesis gas. One can use synthesis gas to make hydrogen for fuel cell driven cars or convert it into synthetic diesel or gasoline (synfuel) to be used in conventional internal combustion engines (ICE). The conversion of fossil fuels to synfuels does not solve the  $CO_2$ problem. This is achieved by using biomass or by coupling centralized production of hydrogen from fossil fuels with  $CO_2$  sequestration.

### **Making Fuels from Biomass**

Jens R. Rostrup-Nielsen



**Process routes for conversion of carbohydrates to fuels.** These routes include ethanol via fermentation and distillation (**top**), hydrogen via ethanol or directly by liquid-phase steam reforming (**middle**), and hydrocarbons (" $CH_2$ ") by the process described by Huber *et al.* (*1*) (**bottom**).

http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/reprint/308/5727/1421.pdf Science, vo. 308, p. 1421-22, 3 June 2005



http://www.kansasenergy.org/KEC/documents/EthanolProjects.pdf

### **Russell Linked Energy System**





March 9, 2004

### **Russell, Kansas Project**



## The CO<sub>2</sub> EOR Oil Resource















Energy content Carbon content (Efuel/C) [TW-yr/GtC] (E/C) [TW-yr/GtC] Seguestration Fossil rafe [GtC/yr] fuel [ŤŴ-yr] [GTC] Gas 1200 570 2.1 1.9 - 1.6 5 - 6 Oil 1200 750 1.6 1.4 - 1.27 - 8 Coal 4800 3690 1.3 1.2 - 1.09 - 10

в



Fig. 1. (A) Fossil fuel electricity from steam turbine cycles. (B) Collecting CO<sub>2</sub> from central plants and air capture, followed by subterranean, ocean, and/or solid carbonate sequestration, could foster emission-free electricity and hydrogen production, but huge processing and sequestration rates are needed (5 to 10 GtC year<sup>-1</sup> to produce 10 TW emission-free assuming energy penalties of 10 to 25%).

**REVIEW:** ENGINEERING

#### Advanced Technology Paths to Global Climate Stability: Energy for a Greenhouse Planet

REVIEW

Martin I. Hoffert,<sup>1</sup>\* Ken Caldeira,<sup>3</sup> Gregory Benford,<sup>4</sup> David R. Criswell,<sup>5</sup> Christopher Green,<sup>6</sup> Howard Herzog,<sup>7</sup> Atul K. Jain,<sup>8</sup> Haroon S. Kheshgi,<sup>9</sup> Klaus S. Lackner,<sup>10</sup> John S. Lewis,<sup>12</sup> H. Douglas Lightfoot,<sup>13</sup> Wallace Manheimer,<sup>14</sup> John C. Mankins,<sup>15</sup> Michael E. Mauel,<sup>11</sup> L. John Perkins,<sup>3</sup> Michael E. Schlesinger,<sup>8</sup> Tyler Volk,<sup>2</sup> Tom M. L. Wigley<sup>16</sup>

> http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/ reprint/298/5595/981.pdf



### **Landfill Gas**

#### **Typical Percentage of Consituents**





### **Landfill Gas**





March 9, 2004

### **Global Temperature Trends: 2005 Summation**





January 2006 was not warm everywhere in the northern hemisphere

http://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/

#### ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE

#### The Greenland Ice Sheet and Global Sea-Level Rise

Julian A. Dowdeswell

The flow of several large glaciers draining the Greenland Ice Sheet is accelerating. This change, combined with increased melting, suggests that existing estimates of future sea-level rise are too low.

the changing mass of the great ice sheets of Greenland and Antarctica represents the L largest unknown in predictions of global sea-level rise over the coming decades. At 1.7 million km<sup>2</sup>, up to 3 km thick, and a little smaller than Mexico, the Greenland Ice Sheet would raise global sea level by about 7 m if it melted completely. This could take from a millennium to a few thousand years (if melting were the only mechanism by which it lost mass) depending on the magnitude of future warming (1). Of more immediate concern are several sets of new observations, derived largely from remote-sensing satellites. As reported by Rignot and Kanagaratnam(2) on page 986 of this issue, the velocities of several large glaciers draining the ice sheet to the sea, already among the fastest-flowing on Earth, have recently doubled to reach over 12 km year-1. In addition, the ice sheet has experienced a greater area of surface melting this year than at any time since systematic satellite monitoring began in 1979 (3). Both these changes increase mass loss from the ice sheet, with the implication that current estimates of global sea-

The author is at the Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1ER, UK. E-mail: jd16@cam.ac.uk level rise over the next century, of about  $0.5 \pm 0.4$ m(4), may be underestimated.

The Greenland Ice Sheet gains mass through snowfall and loses it by surface melting and runoff to the sea, together with the production of icebergs and melting at the base of its floating ice tongues. The difference between these gains and losses is the mass balance; a negative balance contributes to global sea-level rise and vice versa. About half of the discharge from the ice sheet is through 12 fast-flowing outlet glaciers, most no more than 10 to 20 km across at their seaward margin, and each fed from a large interior basin of about 50,000 to 100,000 km<sup>2</sup>. As a result, the mass balance of the ice sheet depends quite sensitively on the behavior of these outlet glaciers.

Two changes to these glaciers have been observed recently. First, the floating tongues or ice shelves of several outlet glaciers, each several hundred meters thick and extending up to tens of kilometers beyond the grounded glaciers, have broken up in the past few years (5). Second, measurements of ice velocity made with satellite radar interferometric methods have demonstrated that flow rates of these glaciers have approximately doubled over the past 5 years or so (2, 5). The effect has been to discharge more





<u>Hybrids</u>: now; on the horizon -- plug-in when batteries evolve <u>Fuel-Cells</u>: probably commercial in 2015 to 2020 <u>Electric</u>: Lithium-ion batteries and beyond will make electric cars practical <u>Clean diesel</u>: now, but not readily available low sulfur/particulates Flex-fuel: E85/85% ethanol -- now.

### Ethanol

- E85 (85% ethanol) gasoline replacement
  - Fewer total toxics
  - Reduced ozone-forming volatile organics (15%)
  - Reduced carbon monoxide (40%)
  - Reduced nitrogen oxide (10%)
  - Reduced sulfate (80%)
  - Lower reactivity of hydrocarbon emissions
  - Higher ethanol and acetaldehyde emissions

Fermenting plant sugars from anything containing sugar, starch, or cellulose More than 90% of ethanol comes from corn FFV's – flexible fuel vehicles

### **Fischer-Tropsch Liquids**

- Convert coal, natural gas, and low-value refinery products to high-value, clean-burning fuel (syngas).
- Colorless, odorless, low toxicity.
- Interchangeable with conventional diesel fuel or blended with diesel at any ratio
- NO2 reduction, low particulates, reduced hydrocarbon and CO emissions
- 10% more cost than diesel
- Low availability

### Liquified Natural Gas

- Almost 100% methane
- Half particulates of diesel
- Reduced CO, N2, and volatile HC
- Drastic reductions in toxic and carcinogenic pollutants
- Only fleet vehicle outdoors
- Expensive to equip vehicle

### **Fuel Cells**









"With a new national commitment, our scientists and engineers will overcome obstacles to taking these cars from laboratory to showroom, so that the first car driven by a child born today could be powered by hydrogen, and pollution-free."

2003 State of the Union Address

### **Fuel Cells**

In the near term, pilot hydrogen fueling facilities are being developed that are based on liquid hydrogen, natural gas (steam methane reforming), and electricity (electrolysis). As an alternative, some manufacturers are considering using fuel reformers to allow fuel cell vehicles to use conventional fuels or chemical hydrogen storage.

### **Fuel Cells**



All fuel cells contain two electrodes - one positively and one negatively charged - with a substance that conducts electricity (electrolyte) sandwiched between them. **Fuel cells** operating on pure hydrogen achieve zero emissions. Fuel cells can achieve 40 to 70 percent efficiency, which is substantially greater than the 30 percent efficiency of the most efficient internal combustion engines.

http://www.epa.gov/fuelcell/basicinfo.htm

### **Fuel Cell Types**

Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM -- sometimes also called "polymer

<u>electrolyte membrane</u>") - Considered the leading fuel cell type for passenger car application; operates at relatively low temperatures and has a high power density.

**Phosphoric Acid** - The most commercially developed fuel cell; generates electricity at more than 40 percent efficiency.

<u>Molten Carbonate</u> - Promises high fuel-to-electricity efficiencies and the ability to utilize coal-based fuels.

**Solid Oxide** - Can reach 60 percent power-generating efficiencies and be employed for large, high powered applications such as industrial generating stations.

<u>Alkaline</u> - Used extensively by the space program; can achieve 70 percent power-generating efficiencies, but is considered too costly for transportation applications.

**Direct Methanol** - Expected efficiencies of 40 percent with low operating temperatures; able to use hydrogen from methanol without a reformer. (A reformer is a device that produces hydrogen from another fuel like natural gas, methanol, or gasoline for use in a fuel cell.) **Regenerative** - Currently being researched by NASA; closed loop form of power generation that uses solar energy to separate water into hydrogen and oxygen.

### Fuel Cell Research





#### Deadline Extended for Hydrogen Production Cost Request January 26, 2006

Through a *Federal Register* Notice (PDF 93 KB) released January 12, 2006, the Department of Energy (DOE) requested information to support an independent progress assessment by the DOE Hydrogen Program in meeting research and development cost goals for hydrogen production using distributed natural gas reforming technology. Download Adobe Reader.

To be economically competitive with the present fossil fuel economy, the cost of fuel cells must be lowered by a factor of ten or more and the cost of producing hydrogen must be lowered by a factor of four. In addition, the performance and reliability of hydrogen and fuel cell technologies must be improved dramatically.

http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/research.html (Feb. 2006)



### Fuel Cell Research



#### **Roadmap on Manufacturing R&D**

DOE maps the path to a hydrogen-powered future in its *Roadmap on Manufacturing R&D for the Hydrogen Economy* (<u>PDF 2.04 MB</u>). <u>Download Adobe Reader</u>.

<u>Released in January 2006</u>, the draft *Roadmap* is designed to guide research and development in hydrogen manufacturing processes. It's open for <u>public comment</u> for 45 days.

Based on the results of the <u>Manufacturing R&D for the Hydrogen</u> <u>Economy Workshop</u> in July 2005, the 80-page document consolidates recommendations from hydrogen power experts in the Federal government, universities, national laboratories, and industry.

Led by DOE, the workshop was supported by the National Institute of Standards and Technology and coordinated with the <u>Manufacturing R&D</u> <u>Interagency Working Group</u> of the National Science and Technology Council.

### **Fuel Cell Research**

Fuel Cell Research and Development This solicitation closes April 5, 2006. More information and application instructions for industry, academia, and other interested parties are available via funding opportunity number <u>DE-PS36-06GO96017</u> on DOE's E-Center. Information for national laboratories is available via funding opportunity number <u>DE-PS36-06GO96018</u>. <u>Codes & Standards for the Hydrogen Economy</u> <u>High Temperature, Low Relative Humidity Polymer-Type Membranes</u> High Temperature Solid Oxide Technologies Research

http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/financial\_opportunities.html

Japanese Putting All Their Energy Into Saving Fuel By Anthony Faiola Washington Post Foreign Service Thursday, February 16, 2006; A01



### Electric Vehicles



#### **EV Battery Types**

- Lead-Acid— Provides a low-cost, low-range (less than 100 miles) option with a 3-year life cycle.
- **Nickel-Metal Hydride** Offers a greater driving range and life cycle, but is currently more expensive than lead-acid batteries.
- **Nickel-Cadmium** Offers a range of 100 miles, a long life, and faster recharges than lead-acid batteries, but is more expensive and has lower peak power and recharging efficiency.
- Lithium-Ion Offers the potential for a long driving range and life cycle, but is currently very costly.
- **Zinc-Air** Currently under development. Provides superior performance compared to current battery technology.
- **Flywheels** Currently under development. Could be capable of storing a larger amount of energy in smaller, lighter weight systems than chemical batteries.

### Wind Power

#### Wind and Prairie Initiative

In January 2005, Governor Sebelius publicly outlined her policies and initiatives regarding the debate over wind-energy development and preservation of the Tallgrass Prairie in the Flint Hills region. The KEC's Wind and Prairie Task Force (WPTF) had submitted its report and recommendations to the Governor in June 2004. Governor Sebelius subsequently discussed the WPTF report with various stakeholders throughout the second half of 2004. She turned to the KEC to take the lead in implementing some components of the policy (see Appendix 7).

The Governor's vision for wind energy in Kansas included:

- Endorsing the KEC recommendations for wind energy. The Governor introduced her own legislation for a \$.005 per kWh transparent, tradable state Production Tax Credit. The bill would have limited new incentives for wind-energy projects to areas outside the Heart of the Flint Hills.
- Calling for 1,000 MW of installed electric generation (equal to about 10% of current capacity), to be voluntarily produced from renewable resources in 10 years.
- Requesting the KEC to evaluate the impact of having State and Regent's facilities use 2.5–5% of electricity on average statewide from renewables; asking KCC to consider full range of benefits on utilities' use of renewable energy (see p. 25).
- Requesting the KEC to analyze utility programs to allow consumers to voluntarily purchase "green" power and how to support utilities to offer it (see p. 22).

**KEC** Kansas Energy Council

http://www.kansasenergy.org/KEC/reports/KEC\_EnergyReport2006.pdf

### Wind Energy Siting Handbook: Guideline Options for

#### **Kansas Cities and Counties**

KANSAS ENERGY COUNCIL

April, 2005

Special Report 2005-1

http://www.kansasenergy.org/KEC/documents/wind\_siting\_handbook.pdf

Table 1—Overview of Midwestern and Great Plains utility green pricing programs, 2005							
			Renewable				
			Energy	Cost		Number of	
Program Name	Utility	State	Technology	(¢/kWh)	Customer	Subscribers	
OG&E Wind	Oklahoma Gas &						
Power	Elect.	OK	Wind	~0.74 <sup>21</sup>		10,000	
Windsource	Xcel Energy	CO	Wind	1.00 <sup>22</sup>	All		
Windsource	Xcel Energy	MN	Wind	2.00	All	11,000	
Renewable Ad-	MidAmerican En-		·	·	·		
vantage	ergy	IA	Wind	na.	All	3,200	
Wind Power Pro-							
gram	Fort Collins Utility	СО	Wind	1.00		1,200	
		IA/MN/	·	·	·		
Second Nature	Alliant Energy	WI	Wind	2.00	Residential	11,544	
PECO wind	Exelon	PA	Wind	2.54	Consumer		
	Madison Gas &	•	·		·		
Wind Power	Elect.	WI	Wind	3.33	Res./Biz.		
			Wind/ Land-		· · ·		
GreenChoice	Austin Energy	TX	fill gas	0.504			



http://www.kansasenergy.org/KEC/reports/KEC\_EnergyReport2006.pdf

### Growth of Wind Energy Capacity Worldwide



Year

Sources: IEA Wind Ehergy Annual Report 2002 BTNI Consult Aps , March 2001 Windpower Monthly , January 2002







http://www.kansasenergy.org/KEC/WindProjects.pdf

#### Class 5 wind power ~ less expensive that gas-fired electrical generation Class 4 wind power ~ less expensive than new coal-fired electrical generation



#### http://www.coriolis-ae.com/kswindmap/default.asp

#### Kansas Wind Resource Map

Estimated average yearly wind speeds at 50 meters in meters/second



Copyright (C) 2003. All rights reserved.

Power classes in the legend are based on the average speed and a Weibull k value of 2.0, but not all locations will have the same power class for a given wind speed.

The wind resource estimates presented on this map were developed by Coriolis-AE using  $WindMap^{TM}$ ,

a program developed by BrowerCo. WindMap<sup>TM</sup> is a mass conserving model based on NOABL, a program developed in the 1970s by the U. S. Department of Energy.

The spatial grid resolution is of 900 (app) meters at the statewide level, and 300 (app) meters at a lower scale.

Layers	*				
Legend	*				
	Kansas Cities				
	KS Cities >25k Pop.				
	Kansas Highways				
	State Route				
	US Route				
	Interstate Route				
	Meteorological Site				
<b>X</b>	NWS primary				
*	NWS secondary				
×	UWIG				
Elect	tric Transmission Lines				
~	Voltage in KW				
$\sim$	34.50				
$\sim$	69.00				
	115.00				
	138.00				
	161.00				
	230.00				
$\sim$	345.00				
	Wind speed at 50M				
	(m/s)				
	Class 1: 0.00 - 5.60				
	Class 2: 5.60 - 6.40				
	Class 3: 6.40 - 7.00				
	Class 4: 7.00 - 7.50				
	Class 5: 7.50 - 8.00				
	Class 6: 8.00 - 8.80				
	Class 7: > 8.80				
New					
views					
Bear Creek Ridge					

Gun Barrel Hill

Statewide

Southern Flint Hills

#### Choices to be made about land use for alternative energy





#### **1990's map from Pacific Northwest Lab. report for NREL**



# Wind energy information resources abound





Kansas presently ranks third in the United States in total wind energy potential behind North Dakota and Texas. In fact, the top three states have enough wind energy potential to supply the total electrical needs of all lower 48 states.
### Gray Co. Wind Farm Monthly Production



Source: Energy Information Administration, 2005

## Rotor Diameter and Rated Capacity



## **Small Wind Electric Systems**



### What Do Wind Systems Cost?

A small turbine can cost anywhere from \$3,000 to \$35,000 installed, depending on size, application, and service agreements with the manufacturer. (The American Wind Energy Association [AWEA] says a typical home wind system costs approximately \$32,000 (10 kW); a comparable photovoltaic [PV] solar system would cost over \$80,000.)

A general rule of thumb for estimating the cost of a residential turbine is \$1,000 to \$3,000 per kilowatt. Wind energy becomes more cost effective as the size of the turbine's rotor increases. Although small turbines cost less in initial outlay, they are







#### A hybrid system

Grid-connected systems can be practical if the following conditions exist:

- You live in an area with average annual wind speed of at least 10 mph (4.5 m/s).
- Utility-supplied electricity is expensive in your area (about 10 to 15 cents per kilowatt-hour).
- The utility's requirements for connecting your system to its grid are not prohibitively expensive.
- There are good incentives for the sale of excess electricity or for the purchase of wind turbines.

Federal regulations (specifically, the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, or PURPA) require utilities to connect with and purchase power from small wind energy systems.

## News Focus

http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/reprint/309/5734/548.pdf Science v. 309, 22 July 2005, p. 548-549.

Officials at the U.S. Department of Energy are working to kindle support for a crash program to transform solar energy from a bit player into the world's leading power source

## Is It Time to Shoot for the Sun?



Fields of gold. Solar power is the most promising renewable energy source.

- Humans now consume 13 terawatts (TW) of power
- 85% from fossil fuels
- By 2050, human may consume 30 TW
- 10 TW of energy ~ 10,000 nuclear plants (Japan, Europe, China, Russia, South Korea and U.S. building experimental fusion reactor in France)
- Wind at all windy locations ~ 72 TW (Stanford research with 80 meter towers using global wind potential)
- Peak oil production ~ now reached
- Natural gas supply ~ 200 years
- Coal supply ~ 2000 years



**Fig. 2.** (**A**) Mass-produced widely distributed PV arrays and wind turbines making electrolytic H<sub>2</sub> or electricity may eventually generate 10 to 30 TW emission-free. (**B**) The global grid proposed by R. Buckminster Fuller with modern computerized load management and high-temperature superconducting (HTS) cables could transmit electricity from day to night locations and foster low-loss distribution from remote, episodic, or dangerous power sources. (The resistivity of HTS wires vanishes below the 77 K boiling point of nitrogen available from air.)

Mass Produced Widely-Distributed PV Arrays and Wind Turbines Making H2 or Electricity



## Capturing Solar Power in Space

http://www.sciencemag.org/ cgi/reprint/298/5595/981.pdf

## **Global Energy Consumption**



http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/reprint/309/5734/548.pdf

Science v. 309, 22 July 2005, p. 548-549.

## Future for Solar depends on price and mass production

**Solar**: photovoltaic panels: currently produce 3 gigawatts of electricity, 40% growth, \$7.5 billion industry

20 TW from solar use 0.16% of land surface

Solar panels on every one of 70 homes in U.S. = 0.25TW (only 1/10 of electricity consumed in U.S.)

Solar Farms and massive storage systems or production facilities for derived energy fules such as generation of hydrogen fuel from water.

Cost is biggest hurdle. Solar energy needs to be 50x less expensive than current. Research needed to develop *basic enabling breakthrough technologies*.

- Nanotechnology: more efficient, cheaper solar cells
- Plastic cells: cheap polymers

• Solar concentrators to focus light, strip hydrogen gas from fossil fuels and sequester CO2, split water to hydrogen



Global need. This map shows the amount of land needed to generate 20 TW with 10% efficient solar cells.

### **Cost to generate electricity:**

- Solar: \$0.25 to \$0.50 per kwh
- Wind: \$0.05 to \$0.07/kwh
- Natural gas: \$0.025 to \$0.05/kwh
- Coal: \$0.01 to \$0.04/kwh

Sun: 57,000 TW every moment (on hour basis, more energy than humans use in year)

http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/reprint/309/5734/548.pdf

Science v. 309, 22 July 2005, p. 548-549.

### Department of Energy Requests \$23.6 Billion for FY 2007 Increased Funding to Advance National Security, Reduce Dependence on Oil, and Boost Economic Competitiveness

### **Advanced Energy Initiative**

The Advanced Energy Initiative aims to reduce America's dependence on imported energy sources. The FY 2007 DOE budget requests \$2.1 billion to meet these goals, an increase of \$381 million over FY 2006. Funding will help develop clean, affordable sources of energy that will help reduce the use of fossil fuels and lead to changes in the way we power our homes, businesses and cars.

The FY 2007 budget request emphasizes investment in alternative fuel technologies. Numerous DOE offices will benefit from the Advanced Energy Initiative. The Office of Science (\$539 million) budget incorporates funding for nuclear fusion, including the ITER project, an experimental reactor that puts the U.S. on the pathway to furthering the potential of nuclear fusion as source of environmentally safe energy; solar, biomass and hydrogen research programs.

The Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (\$771 million) budget includes considerable funding increases for hydrogen technology, fuel cell technology, vehicle technology, biomass, solar, and wind research programs. The Office of Fossil Energy (\$444 million) supports the Coal Research Initiative and other power generation/stationary fuel cell research programs. The Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology (\$392 million) includes \$250 million for the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP); and also supports Generation IV, Nuclear Power 2010, and the Nuclear Hydrogen Initiative.

## Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (\$1.2 billion)

Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy budget requests \$1.2 billion, \$2.6 million (0.2%) more than the FY 2006 appropriations. Much of this funding is an integral part of the Advanced Energy Initiative and expands key programs that focus on developing new energy choices, including:

- Hydrogen Fuel Technology (\$114 million);
- Fuel Cell Technology (\$82 million);
- Biomass (\$150 million), including research into cellulosic ethanol, made from switch grass, wood chips and stalks;
- Solar America Initiative (\$148 million);
- Vehicle technology (\$166 million);
- Wind projects (\$44 million).

#### Definitions of terms used in this brochure

- BTU British Thermal Unit—A common method of indicating the amount of heat energy removed by an air conditioner.
- CF Cubic feet.
- kWh KiloWatt hour—A unit of electrical energy equivalent to using one kiloWatt of electricity for one hour. A kiloWatt is a unit of power equal to 1000 Watts.
- W Watt—A measurement of power and the rate of energy expended. One horsepower equals about 746 Watts.

#### Want to know how much electricity a specific appliance uses?

Use this formula: Appliance wattage\* x avg hours used per month ÷ 1000 = monthly kWh \*wattage can be found on most appliances

Printed using soy-based inks on paper that contains 100% recycled post-consumer waste and is 100% process chlorine-free.



Toll-free 1-888-921-5990 255 South Champlain St. • Suite 7 • Burlington, VT 05401-4894 www.efficiencyvermont.com

ECS1a-1005



Your Guide to Electrical Use in Your Home





...cutting-edge communication and information technology to give customers realtime information about energy use. In advanced phases they could be used to remotely control airconditioning settings or activate "smart" appliances.

http://www.efficiencyvermont.org/Docs/Appliance%20Uasage%20Broc05.pdf

#### What this chart can show you

With this chart, you'll be able to see how quickly your energy bill can add up when you use appliances and lighting not manufactured with energy efficiency in mind. For example, take a look at lighting. If you have ten lamps in your house, each with a 100W incandescent bulb, you can expect to pay about \$160 to light your home each year. Energyefficient bulbs will keep those lamps lit for around \$47. Plus, they last six to eight times longer.

#### About this chart

This chart is a guide and individual household costs may vary.

- The appliances listed are all electric appliances.
- Hours in Use is based on a typical four-person household. Your hours may vary; adjust accordingly.
- Annual kWh may vary considerably depending upon model, age, and use.
- Annual Cost is based upon the statewide average of 13 cents per kiloWatt hour (kWh).

To estimate the Annual Cost of operating an appliance: Multiply the *Annual kWh* by your utility's kWh rate or by the statewide average of .13; for example:

Annual (	Cost f	or a Tele	visio	n–15"-27"
216	x	.13	=	\$28
Annual	kWh rate			ANNUAL
kWh	stat	ewide aven	age	COST

#### ELECTRICAL USAGE CHART

for a typical four-person household

$\star = \text{ENERGY STAR availability}$	MONTHLY	AVERAG	ANNUAL AVERAG			
APPLIANCE	HOURS IN USE	kWh USED	MONTHS USED	ANNUAL kWh	ANNUA	
Air Conditioner-central	125	375	3	1125	\$146	
Air Conditioner 8,000 BTU–room/window *	100	90	3	270	\$ 35	
Air Purifier	730	37	6	219	\$ 28	
Aquarium with heater, light, filter	360	34	12	410	\$ 53	
Clothes Dryer-electric (6 loads per week at 45 minutes)	20	75	12	900	\$117	
Clothes Dryer-gas (6 loads per week at 45 minutes) <sup>1</sup>	23	9	12	110	\$ 14	
Clothes Washer (7 loads per week) <sup>2</sup> *	30	9	12	108	\$ 14	
Coffeemaker (1.5 pots per day)	30	5	12	54	\$7	
Computer with monitor *	60	8	12	90	\$ 12	
Dehumidifier (moderately damp basement) *	250	200	6	1200	\$156	
Dishwasher-air dry (4 loads per week) *	16	8	12	96	\$ 12	
Dishwasher-heat dry (4 loads per week) *	16	13	12	154	\$ 20	
Electric Blanket (queen size)	240	8	6	50	\$ 7	
Fan-box or floor stand	71	11	3	32	\$ 4	
Fan-ceiling (without lights) *	150	12	6	72	\$ 9	
Freezer Chest, 18 CF, manual defrost, 20 years old	730	75	12	897	\$117	
Freezer Chest, 18 CF, manual defrost, 10 years old	730	51	12	610	\$ 79	
Freezer Chest, 17 CF, manual defrost, new *	730	36	12	426	\$ 55	
Freezer Upright, 17 CF, auto defrost, 20 years old	730	112	12	1342	\$174	
Freezer Upright, 17 CF, auto defrost, 10 years old	730	90	12	1082	\$141	
Freezer Upright, 17 CF, auto defrost, new *	730	57	12	685	\$ 89	
Freezer Upright, 17 CF, manual defrost, 20 years old	730	76	12	917	\$119	
Freezer Upright, 17 CF, manual defrost, 10 years old	730	51	12	608	\$ 79	
Freezer Upright, 17 CF, manual defrost, new *	730	40	12	479	\$ 62	
Furnace Fan	178	152	6	914	\$119	
Hair Dryer (10 minutes per day)	5	6	12	75	\$ 10	
Heat Tape-30' (thermostatically controlled)	365	77	6	460	\$ 60	
Heater-electric baseboard: 10'	240	300	5	3000	\$390	
Heater-engine block	180	135	4	540	\$ 70	
Heater-portable (1500 watt, 8 hours per day)	240	360	6	2160	\$281	
Heating System-hot water circulator (3 zones)	178	48	6	288	\$ 37	
Hot Tub-indoor	70	196	12	2350	\$306	

MONTHLY AVERAGE				ANNUAL AVERAGE		
APPLIANCE	HOURS IN USE	kWh USED	MONTHS USED	ANNUAL kWh	ANNUAL COST	
Hot Tub-outdoor	128	298	12	3577	\$465	
Humidifier	230	29	6	173	\$ 22	
Lighting–compact fluorescent bulb (100W equivalent) $\star$	100	3	12	32	\$4	
Lighting–fluorescent light (two 40W tubes and ballast) $\star$	100	9	12	106	\$ 14	
Lighting-incandescent (100W bulb) *	100	10	12	120	\$ 16	
Lighting–outdoor flood, compact fluorescent $\star$	90	2	12	29	\$4	
Lighting–outdoor flood, incandescent $\star$	90	11	12	130	\$ 17	
Microwave Oven (15 minutes per day) *	8	11	12	137	\$ 18	
Oven (2 hours per week)	8	21	12	255	\$ 33	
Oxygen Concentrator	240	96	12	1152	\$150	
Radio/Tape Player	153	2	12	18	\$ 2	
Range-large cooking surface unit	8	19	12	230	\$ 30	
Range-small cooking surface unit	8	10	12	125	\$ 16	
Refrigerator-18 CF, 20 years old	730	98	12	1181	\$154	
Refrigerator-18 CF, 10 years old	730	70	12	845	\$110	
Refrigerator-18 CF, new *	730	41	12	486	\$ 63	
Refrigerator-22 CF, side-by-side, 20 years old	730	135	12	1619	\$210	
Refrigerator-22 CF, side-by-side, 10 years old	730	96	12	1146	\$149	
Refrigerator-22 CF, side-by-side, new *	730	56	12	675	\$ 88	
Satellite/Cable Receiver Box *	730	18	12	219	\$ 28	
Stereo	90	5	12	54	\$7	
Swimming Pool Filter Pump	365	274	4	1095	\$142	
Television–15* to 27" standard *	150	18	12	216	\$ 28	
Television-27* LCD flat screen *	150	18	12	216	\$ 28	
Television-42* Plasma *	150	49	12	588	\$ 76	
Toaster Oven (5 minutes per day)	3	4	12	43	\$ 6	
Water Heater–50 gallon tank	83	386	12	4626	\$601	
Waterbed Heater (queen size)	256	96	12	1152	\$150	
Well Pump	17	12	12	140	\$ 18	

<sup>1</sup>Cost does not include gas use.

<sup>2</sup> Cost does not include hot water.

High Energy Use Appliances—operating costs annually of \$100 or more—are listed in green.

Average usage data compiled by Efficiency Vermont.

### 41% drop in heat loss



http://www.kgs.ku.edu/ERC/current.html

### KU ENERGY RESEARCH CENTER'S SPONSORED PROJECT ON BUILDING INSULATION:

#### Little Houses on the Prairie

Phase-change materials help take the bite out of heating and cooling in test houses. http://www.engr.ku.edu/publications/Oread\_Engin eer/2002/articles/tinyhouses.htm

### KU professor studies unique substance that could help improve home efficiency

http://www.ku.edu/~kunews/2002/02N/SeptNews/ Sept17/pcm.html

#### **New Invention**

"Phase Change Structural Insulated Panels and Walls." Filed in July 2003 with U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Status: Pending.

http://www.research.ku.edu/techtran/news/newsl etter/news\_v3\_n03.pdf

### 2004 Technology Showcase Draws a Crowd

http://www.research.ku.edu/techtran/news/newsl etter/kutt-0105.pdf

## Conclusions

- Energy Research in Kansas & KU is addressing alternative energy options
- Energy use and fuel sources being evaluated with high prices
- Policy changes being developed at state and national levels to support alternative forms of energy
- Changing views on fossil energy dependence based on higher prices, unstable political situations in areas of current supply, and climate change, remaining resources
- Are high oil and gas prices good? provide incentives to develop alternative energy
- Biomass, ethanol, biodiesel, synfuels, land fill gas, carbon sequestration are viable options in Kansas today
- Fuels Cells are wave of future in transportation and residential energy
- Electric Vehicles are hintered by energy storage
- Wind Power is economic
- Nuclear is viable option under right conditions for transportation and storage of waste. Fusion power is on the horizon.

Nuclear Energy



Home > Electronic Reading Room > Document Collections > Reports Associated with Events > Powe Reactor Status Reports > 2006 > February 16

#### Power Reactor Status Report for February 16, 2006

UNEVALUATED INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE FACILITY

#### Region 4

Unit	Power		
Arkansas Nuclear 1	100		
Arkansas Nuclear 2	100		
Callaway	100		
Columbia Generating Station	60		
Comanche Peak 1	100		
Comanche Peak 2	100		
Cooper	100		
Diablo Canyon 1	100		
Diablo Canyon 2	100		
Fort Calhoun	100		
Grand Gulf 1	100		
Palo Verde 1	25		
Palo Verde 2	100		
Palo Verde 3	100		
River Bend 1	0		
San Onofre 2	0		
San Onofre 3	100		
South Texas 1	100		
South Texas 2	100		
Waterford 3	100		
Wolf Creek 1	100		

### Number of nuclear units: **1**

Wolf Creek, Burlington, Kan.

Nuclear energy supplies **20.4 percent** of the electricity generated in Kansas.





#### http://www.mindfully.org/Nucs/Maps/ks.htm

#### Nuclear dump

On Thursday, the energy secretary formally selected Yucca Mountain in Nevada to be the burial site for the nation's nuclear waste.



http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/event-status/reactor-status/2006/20060216ps.html#r2

#### Notes:

• Reactor status data collected between 4 a.m. and 8 a.m. each day.

All times are based on eastern time





## Environmental Aspects of Nuclear Power in Kansas



During 2000, Kansas' nuclear power plants avoided approximately 49,000 tons of sulfur dioxide emissions, 20,000 tons of nitrogen oxide emissions, and 2.09 million metric tons of carbon emissions.



Since 1985, consumers of electricity from Wolf Creek have committed **\$176 million** into the federal Nuclear Waste Fund to finance nuclear waste management. Used fuel at Wolf Creek is being temporarily stored in water-filled vaults.

http://www.nei.org/documents/maps/statebystate/kansas.html

Low-BTU Gas in the Permian Chase Group in the Ryersee Field in Western Kansas: A Case History where Technology Creates a Marketable Commodity



K. David Newell, Kansas Geological Survey, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas Scott Corsair, American Warrior, Inc., Garden City, Kansas Steve Chafin and Kent Pennybaker, River City Engineering, Inc., Lawrence, Kansas



http://www.kgs.ku.edu/PRS/publication/2003/ofr2003-57/P2-03.html

Separation of Methane And Helium from Raw, low-BTU gas



http://www.kgs.ku.edu/PRS/publication/2003/ofr2003-57/P2-03

#### PROPOSED LOCATIONS for NEW COAL-FIRED POWER PLANTS in KANSAS

September 2005





Projection Information: Name: Lambert Conformal Conic Datum: NAD83 Spheroid GRS 1980 Distance Units: meters

- Westar is only building one plant, but has several potential locations
- \*\* Sunflower is building two plants -Total output shown

Surficial Hydrography and Ogallala Aquifer shown in Blue Compiled and edited by DASC (Data Access and Support Center), Kansas Geological Survey (KGS) at the University of Kansas, in Lawrence, Kansas. 08/05



All data except for the Proposed Coal sites can be downloaded from Kansas Geospatial Community Commons http://gisdasc.kgs.ku.edu

#### www.KansasEnergy.org



## **Kansas Coalbed Methane Activity**







## **Landfill Gas**

### **Typical Percentage of Consituents**





## Landfill Gas





## **Coal, an Unconventional Reservoir**





### Micropores



## **SE Kansas CBM Production**





## **SE Kansas CBM Production**



## **Kansas Gas Production**



Production Through November 2003 Wellhead Prices through September 2003



### General Overview Kansas Oil and Gas

**Population:** 2,735,502 (2004) ranked 33rd **Per Capita Income:** \$30,811 (2004) ranked 29th **Total Energy Consumption:** 1.0 guadrillion Btu (2001), ranked 32nd Per Capita Energy Consumption: 386 million Btu (2001), ranked 15th **Total Petroleum Consumption:** 8.2 million gallons per day (2002), ranked 31st Gasoline Consumption: 3.3 million gallons per day (2002), ranked 33rd **Distillate Fuel Consumption:** 1.9 million gallons per day (2002), ranked 33rd Liquefied Petroleum Gas Consumption: 1.2 million gallons per day (2002), ranked 13th Jet Fuel Consumption: 0.2 million gallons per day (2002), ranked 34th Petroleum Supply (Upstream) Crude Oil Proved Reserves: 245 million barrels (2004), ranked 10th (11th including Federal Offshore). Accounts for 1 percent of U.S. crude oil proved reserves. **Crude Oil Production:** 92,000 barrels per day (2004), ranked 8th (9th including Federal Offshore). Accounts for 2 percent of U.S. crude oil production. Total Producing Oil Wells: 40,474 (2004)

Refineries: Distillation capacity of 296,200 Barrels Per Calendar Day (BCD) (2005) Coffeyville Resources Refining & Mkg (Coffeyville @ 112,000 BCD) Frontier Refining & Marketing Inc. (El Dorado @ 103,000 BCD) NCRA (McPherson @ 81,200 BCD)

Gasoline Stations: 2,500 outlets (2005), or about 1.5 percent of U.S. total.

#### Sources of Pollutants from Energy Generation and Use

(Percent)







# Permeability Model 2nd Iteration





KANSAS	Hugoton	Panoma	Combined	Kansas Gas Production
Discovery Development Infill Drilling Depth Wells	1928 1948 1990 2,500 7,536	1958 1970 ? 2,750 2,345	9,881	Panoma "Discovery" Panoma "Discovery" Panoma Panoma Panoma
Cum. Gas (TCF) BCF/well Annual (BCF-2003) MMCF/Well	24.7 3.3 239.9 31.8	3.0 1.3 62.5 26.7	27.7 2.8 302.4 30.6	Hugoton Discovery Hugoton Discovery Rest of Karisas Hugoton Discovery Rest of Karisas Hugoton Discovery Rest of Karisas Hugoton Discovery Rest of Karisas

### http://www.kgs.ku.edu/PRS/AAPG2005/2005-25/p1-01.html




### Table 1

Oil Production from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005				
Ranking	Operator Name	Production (bo)		
1	Berexco, Inc.	1,546,728		
2	Vess Oil Corporation	1,471,096		
3	Murfin Drilling Co., Inc.	1,260,885		
4	Oxy USA, Inc.	1,186,353		
5	American Warrior, Inc.	729,542		
6	Merit Energy Company	683,356		
7	Cimarex Energy Co.	587,857		
8	McCoy Petroleum Corporation	525,824		
9	Elysium Energy, L.L.C.	469,468		
10	Ritchie Exploration, Inc.	469,214		
11	PetroSantander ( USA ) Inc.	466,113		
12	Loeb, Herman L.	411,820		
13	Hartman Oil Co., Inc.	397,181		
14	White Eagle Resources Corp.	349,212		
15	Oil Producers, Inc. of Kansas	323,062		
16	Farmer, John O., Inc.	317,366		
17	Mull Drilling Company, Inc.	306,147		
18	Trans Pacific Oil Corporation	304,089		
19	Abercrombie Energy, LLC	285,393		
20	Schmitt, Carmen, Inc.	267,061		

## Table 2

Gas Production from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005			
Ranking	Operator Name	Production (mcf)	
1	BP America Production Company	63,852,573	
2	EXXONMOBIL Oil Corp	53,445,319	
3	Oxy USA, Inc.	42,432,884	
4	Anadarko Petroleum Corporation	31,674,258	
5	Pioneer Natural Resources USA, Inc.	27,446,942	
6	Cimarex Energy Co.	11,803,937	
7	XTO Energy Inc.	11,445,796	
8	Merit Energy Company	10,497,582	
9	Chesapeake Operating, Inc.	7,223,242	
10	Quest Cherokee, LLC	6,361,021	
11	Kansas Natural Gas, Inc.	4,650,464	
12	Osborn Heirs Company, LTD	4,138,505	
13	Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co. , LLC	3,896,081	
14	Oil Producers, Inc. of Kansas	3,505,031	
15	Berexco, Inc.	3,122,163	
16	Woolsey Operating Company, LLC	3,055,935	
17	Horseshoe Operating, Inc.	2,869,958	
18	McCoy Petroleum Corporation	2,352,013	
19	Dominion Oklahoma Texas Expl & Prod, Inc.	2,345,844	
20	Chevron USA, Inc.	2,199,923	





74.2mi

0

×

Overview Map

? Legend

Gas Production mcf Less than 15000 15000 to 3650000 3650000 to 5110000 5110000 to 8760000 8760000 to 10950000 10950000 to 14600000 14600000 to 25550000 25550000 to 43800000 Greater than 43800000 County Boundary

÷



Copyright (c) 2002 Kansas Geological Survey http://hercules.kgs.ku.edu/kgs/oilgas/production/imageviewertest.cfm

#### 😉 http://www.bakerhughes.com - Technology Views - Mozilla Firefox



Done





March 9, 2004

# **CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration Options**



March 9, 2004



# Kansas Sources for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture





March 9, 2004